

# Supplemental Lecture Notes

Tristan Knoth

August 10, 2021

This document contains (semi) formally written versions of some proofs done in lecture on August 10. It should serve as one example of how to write a proof.

## Slide 30

*Claim:* If  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  is even, then so is  $n^2$

*Proof.* Let  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  be arbitrary. Suppose  $n$  is even. By definition, there exists  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $n = 2k$ . Thus,  $n^2 = 4k^2 = 2(2k^2)$ . Since the integers are closed under multiplication,  $2k^2$  is an integer. Thus, we know that  $n^2$  is even by definition.  $\square$

## Slide 31

*Claim:* If  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  is odd, then so is  $n^2$

*Proof.* Let  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  be arbitrary. Suppose  $n$  is odd. Then by definition there exists an integer  $k$  such that  $n = 2k + 1$ . Thus, we have

$$\begin{aligned}n^2 &= (2k + 1)^2 \\ &= 2k^2 + 4k + 1 \\ &= 2(k^2 + 4k) + 1\end{aligned}$$

Since the integers are closed under multiplication and addition,  $k^2 + 4k$  is also an integer and  $n^2$  is therefore odd by definition.  $\square$

## Slide 35

*Claim:* If  $x^2 - 6x + 5$  is even, then  $x$  is odd.

*Proof.* We consider instead the contrapositive: for all integers  $x$ , if  $x$  is even, then  $x^2 - 6x + 5$  is odd. Let  $x \in \mathbb{Z}$  be arbitrary. Suppose  $x$  is even. By definition, there exists an integer  $k$  such that  $x = 2k$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}x^2 - 6x + 5 &= (2k)^2 - 6(2k) + 5 \\ &= 4k^2 - 12k + 5 \\ &= 2(2k^2 - 6k + 2) + 1\end{aligned}$$

Since the integers are closed under addition, subtraction, and multiplication, we know  $2k^2 - 6k + 2$  is an integer. Therefore, we have that  $x^2 - 6x + 5$  is odd by definition.  $\square$

## Slide 34

*Claim:* For integers  $n, a, b$ , if  $n \nmid ab$  then  $n \nmid a$  and  $n \nmid b$ .

*Proof.* Let  $n, a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  be arbitrary. We instead prove the contrapositive of our claim: if  $n \mid a$  or  $n \mid b$ , then  $n \mid ab$ . We must consider two cases. First, suppose  $n \mid a$ . Then, by definition, there exists some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  where  $a = nk$ . Thus,  $ab = nkb$ . Since the integers are closed under multiplication,  $kb$  is an integer and  $n \mid ab$  by definition. For the second case, suppose instead that  $n \mid b$ . Then, by definition, there exists some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  where  $b = nk$ . Thus,  $ab = nka$ . Since the integers are closed under multiplication,  $ka$  is an integer and  $n \mid ab$  by definition.  $\square$